

Evaluating Your Own Face Recognition Ability: Validation of the Stirling Face Recognition Scale in a Turkish sample Senem Oren, Aysegul Aydinlik, Aycan Kapucu, Sonia Amado

# Ege University, Department of Psychology, 35100, Izmir, Turkey

## **GOALS**

- Evaluating the validity and the reliability of the Stirling Face Recognition Scale (SFRS) for Turkish sample
- Examining the relationship between individuals' 2. perceived and actual face recognition performances

# **STUDY I: CONSTRUCTING SFRS-T**

- Turkish version of SFRS (SFRS-T) was constructed via  $\succ$ following translation-back translation procedure
- 202 university students
  - 114 female, 86 male, 2 not specified
  - 19-37 years old (*M* = 22.08, *SD* = 2.45)

#### $\succ$ 2 questions deleted:

Under different component (face processing):

Q1. I know exactly where I first met someone (work meetings, parties, etc.).

Low factorial load

Q10. When people change their hairstyle, or wear hats, I have problems recognising them.

- > 2 Factors 18 item
- > Cronsbach's  $\alpha = 0.88$
- Face processing
- Face memory



Pattern matrix for factor analysis of 18 item Turkish version of the Stirling Face Recognition Scale

# **STUDY 2: PERCEIVED & ACTUAL FACE**



## **CONCLUSION**

- Reliability of SFRS-T for samples of university s
- $\succ$  In order to assess the factors that affect people take into account other factors such as task diffi

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Metehan Bitlisli for his valuable of

#### **REFERENCES**

- Bindemann, M., Attard, J., & Johnston, R. A. (2014). Perceived ability and actual
- Bobak, A. K., Mileva, V. R., & Hancock, P. J. (2019). Facing the facts: Naive parti 72(4), 872-881
- Palermo, R., Rossion, B., Rhodes, G., Laguesse, R., Tez, T., Hall, B., ... & Al-Janabi, S. (2017). Do people have insight into their face recognition abilities?. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 70(2), 218-233.
- Russell, R., Duchaine, B., & Nakayama, K. (2009). Super-recognizers: People with extraordinary face recognition ability. Psychonomic bulletin & review, 16(2), 252-257.



RECOGNI	TION PERFORMANCES
	82 university students, 2 study groups:
	SFRS-T firstSFRS-T later $35$ female, 5 male $36$ female, 6 maleAge 19-32 ( $M$ = 21.38, $SD$ = 2.70)Age 19-56 ( $M$ = 21.98, $SD$ = 6.10)
98	N r Sig
	Cambridge Face Memory Test – long form (CFMT+) 82 .393 .00
	Glasgow Face Matching Test (GFMT) 82 .227 .04
	Abstract Art Memory Test (AAMT)82.008.94
	3
	2 • •
-17	S y ≠ 0,3929x - 2E-17 S 1 1 4 5 2 = 0,1544
_	
3	
	z Cambridge Face Memory Test
ted	Underestimated Calibrated Overestimated
tudonto (alph	a = 0.88 was confirmed
iudenis (alph	a – 0.00) was commed.
's self evalution iculty or insigh	on of their face recognition performance, the following studies should hts on familiar face recognition performance.
	CONTACT INFO
contributions in	the data collection process. aydinlik.aysegul@gmail.com
	1
recognition accuracy t icipants have only moc	for unfamiliar and famous faces. Cogent Psychology, 1(1), 986903. derate insight into their face recognition and face perception abilities. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology,